

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addrey: COMMISSIONER FOX PATENTS P.O. 500 (50) Alexandria Virgania 2313-1450 www.uspta.g.v

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,475	01/18/2002	Stefan Keller-Tuberg	135881	1452
24587 7590 02/12/2007 ALCATEL USA		EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			MEUCCI, MICHAEL D	
3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2 PLANO, TX 75075		GL2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,		2142		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

۱	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/051,475	75 KELLER-TUBERG, STEFAN	
ľ	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael D. Meucci	2142	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: .. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 16-25 and 45-55. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant provides no support for the argument that the prior art of record does not teach communication of service control information over a single unidirectional communication path. In any case, the examiner points to line 66 of column 5 through line 8 of column 6 which discloses: "In operation, multicast gate 102 receives transmissions, for example, in RTP format, sent over the public network 106. Multicast gate 102 can be configured to receive and process any number of multicast groups, and thus may be used to enable or disable access to various multicast groups by private network clients 116. For example, it may be considered undesirable to allow transmission of entertainment multicasts over the corporate network (as a waste of system bandwidth, etc.), in which case the gate 102 may be configured to disable all access to such multicast groups." It should be readily apparent from this citation alone that Putzolu discloses the argued limitation. Accordingly, the rejection remains proper and is maintained by the examiner.

As per the applicant's arguments that the prior art does not teach: "the group of end user download devices and the multi-cast ..." because the limitation is not present in claim 26, the examiner points out that this exact limitation was added to claim 26 in the formal amendments filed by the applicant on 15 May 2006. This limitation is taught in the same citation as above (line 66 of column 5 through line 8 of column 6 and also in lines 8-18 of column 6 which disclose: "As another example, it may be desired to only allow access to certain high bandwidth transmissions once a more senior user has requested such transmissions, in which case multicast gate 102 may be configured to disable access to such multicast groups until certain authorized clients 116 have made such a request. Such configuration may be accomplished, for example, by a system administrator who may access the multicast gating system 102 (via user interface 1218) to set transmission filters within the multicast gate 102." As such, the rejection remains proper and is maintained by the

BEATRIZ PRIETO